99 83

PROCEEDINGS
of
THE TRAVANCORE SRI CHITRA STATE COUNCIL.

OFFICIAL REPORT.

THIRD COUNCIL.

Volume XXVII—No. 2.

THIRD SESSION.

Friday the 8th February 1946|26th Makarom 1121.

The Council re-assembled in the Legislative Chamber, Public Offices, with the Dewan-President in the Chair.

MEMBERS SWORN IN.

1. Dr. S. John (*Surgeon-General.*)
2. Mr. K. K. Kartha (*Executive Engineer*).

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS.

Pension Payments.

234. *Mr. A. N. Satyanesan (*Nominated*): Will the Government be pleased to state the expenditure incurred in 1119 and 1120 respectively for payment of pensions?

Mr. S. Narayana Aiyar (*Financial Secretary to Government*): Rs. 19,97,153 and Rs. 22,58,629 respectively.

Mr. A. N. Satyanesan: പെൻഷൻക്കുക അനുതോതം വർ ധിച്ചുകൊണ്ടിരിക്കുന്ന ഈ നയത്തെ തടയുന്നതിനു എന്തെങ്കിലും പദ്ധതി ഗവണ്മെൻറിന്റെ അലോചനയിലുണ്ടോ?

Mr. S. Narayana Aiyar: Sir, the age of retirement from service has been extended from 55 to 58.

Revision of pay.

235. *Mr. N. Narayana Kurup (*Karunagapalli, Karthikapalli and Ambalapuzha* cum *Shertallai*): Will the Government be pleased to state:

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

[Mr. N. Narayana Kurup.]

(*a*) whether they are aware that the recent general revision of pay has not been beneficial in any way to many public servants who were getting personal pay without a scale during standardisation and retrenchment;

(*b*) if the answer to part (*a*) is in the negative, whether they have received any representation from any such incumbents in any department praying for a re-consideration or revision of their pay; and

(*c*) whether they are aware that clerks on Rs. 40-70 standardised scale of pay and those who were on Rs. 40-50 personal scale during the same period of service, are in different grades and scales by the revision, the former getting Rs. 75-100 and the latter getting only Rs. 45-75 by the recent revision?

Mr. S. Narayana Aiyar: (*a*) Yes; such cases have been considered in the supplementary revision.

(*b*) In view of the answer to part (*a*), (*b*) does not arise.

(*c*) The pay of clerks on Rs. 40-70 was revised in the general revision to Rs. 45-75 only. The pay of clerks on Rs. 40-45 (personal) was also revised to Rs. 45-75.

Mr. N. Narayana Kurup: Sir, may I know whether a clerk who was getting Rs. 40 to 70 in 1086 would be getting Rs. 75 in the new scale of Rs. 75-100?

Mr. S. Narayana Aiyar: Only Rs. 75 if he has been stagnating on the maximum of Rs. 70.

Mr. N. Narayana Kurup: May I know whether it is not a fact that normally a clerk on Rs. 50 in the personal pay of Rs. 50 would be getting only Rs. 55 in the recent revised scale of Rs. 45-75?

Mr. S. Narayana Aiyar: Yes, Sir.

Mr. N. Narayana Kurup: Then, is it not an anomaly?

Mr. S. Narayana Aiyar: It is not an anomaly. He has been stagnating on Rs. 70 for a long time. So, he is given Rs. 80 in the revised scale of Rs. 80-100.

Mr. N. Narayana Kurup: Is it due to the fault of the officer or that of the Department?

Mr. S. Narayana Aiyar: It is a matter of opinion.

Mr. N. Narayana Kurup: May I know whether there are two different types—clerks on Rs. 45-75 and those on personal pay of Rs. 50 in the same Department now?

Mr. S. Narayana Aiyar: No, Sir. Both of them would be getting Rs. 45-75 scale.

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly

Mr. N. Narayana Kurup: Sir, I want to know whether the answer given is correct. I was asking, first whether a clerk who was drawing Rs. 40 in the 40-70 scale in 1936 would normally get a revised pay of 75-100 at the revision now?

Mr. S. Narayana Aiyar: No, only Rs. 75 in the scale of 45-75 if he had reached the maximum and had been stagnating there.

Mr. N. Narayana Kurup: May I know whether a clerk on Rs. 50 would be getting Rs. 70 in the revised scale, normally?

Mr. S. Narayana Aiyar: No, Sir.

Mr. N. Narayana Kurup: I challenge that statement. I know of a case where a clerk.....

President: If there is a mistake, the honourable member may put it forward.

Mr. N. Narayana Kurup: I want an elucidation from the Chair.

President: Honourable member will verify.

Mr. S. Narayana Aiyar: Yes, Sir.

Revision of scale of pay.

236. ***Mr. N. Narayana Kurup:** Will the Government be pleased to state:

(*a*) whether the head clerks in the Munsiff's Courts and Deputy Tahsildars in the taluk offices were on the same grade and scale of pay of Rs. 45-75 at any time;

(*b*) whether the scale of pay of the Deputy Tahsildars has been revised to Rs. 80-100 recently;

(*c*) whether such a revision has been effected in the scale of pay of the head clerks of Munsiffs' Courts; and

(*d*) if the answer to part (*c*) is in the negative, why they have not been given the benefit of a similar revision of pay?

Mr. S. Narayana Aiyar: (*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) No.

(*d*) An automatic change over of all appointments on identical scales of pay before the revision, into appointments on the same revised scale of pay was not the aim of the general revision of salaries.

Revision of pay.

237. ***Mr. N. Narayana Kurup:** Will the Government be pleased to state:

LIBRARY ARCHIVES Kerala Legislative Assembly